

What's the significance, if any, of Bultmann's distinction between "direct address" and "indirect address" for understanding the distinction between "executive," or "deontic," authority, on the one hand, and "nonexecutive," or "epistemic," authority, on the other?

If the first distinction is simply a special case of the second; and if Bultmann's analysis of how the terms of the first distinction are related is sound, then one could presumably argue that "executive authority" necessarily implies "nonexecutive authority," and vice versa.

14 February 2005