

What is the problem with an inadequate philosophical doctrine? Is it that it fails to *justify* the practice of daily life, as Whitehead says (*AI*: 289), or is it that it fails to *be justified by* such practice?

If philosophy's "ultimate appeal is to the general consciousness of what in practice we experience" (*PRc*: 17 [25]), then, surely, it is practice that justifies theory, not the other way around.

Or are both statements true: theory in its way justifies practice, even as practice in its way justifies theory?

20 October 2000