

On my old question as to the exact relation between the conceptuality / terminology, etc. required by interreligious dialogue, on the one hand, and metaphysics-ethics, on the other:

Isn't the relation that between philosophy of religion, on the one hand, and metaphysics-ethics, on the other?

If philosophy of religion is understood as logical analysis of the "deep structure," or logical *kind* of meaning, proper to religion; and if such interpretation, as much as any other, requires not only interpreting by asking a certain kind of question, but also rendering the *interpretandum* into some appropriate *interpretans*, then it should be able to provide everything necessary to interreligious dialogue, including not only the appropriate conceptuality / terminology in which to conduct it, but also what is to count *in principle* as the criterion for adjudicating different religious claims to truth. But to determine what is to count *in fact* as that criterion requires going beyond the philosophy of religion to metaphysics-ethics.

23 November 2005