

To the existence of what God do the so-called proofs of God's existence lead insofar as they are formally valid and their premises are materially true?

Do they lead to the existence of the God of whom Hartshorne speaks when he says, "the word God . . . stands for an analogy (difficult no doubt) between the thinking animal and the cosmos conceived as animate" (*CSPM*: 220)?

Or do they lead to, at most, the existence of the God who, as I put it, is the eminent or universal individual, and who, therefore, is, or will be, internally as well as externally related to all other individuals and events, whether actual or possible?